



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--------------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/696,699 | 10/29/2003 | Bob G. Sanders | D6453CIP | | |
| 75 | 90 12/29/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| David L. Parker | | | CHOWDHURY, IQBAL HOSSAIN | | |
| Fulbright & Jaw 600 Congress A | | ART UNIT PAPER NU | | | |
| Suite 2400 | | 1652 | | | |
| Austin, TX 78 | 701 | DATE MAII ED: 12/29/2005 | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | Application No. | 1 | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|---|--|--------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/696,699 | 8 | SANDERS ET AL. | | | | | |
| | | Examiner | 1 | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | | | lqbal Chowdhury, Ph.D. | | 1652 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply | nication appe | ears on the cover sheet w | vith the co | rrespondence ad | dress | | | |
| WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Masions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this composition of the provision of the maximum is the toreply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | MAILING DA s of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. tatutory period will y will, by statute, of | TE OF THIS COMMUN 6(a). In no event, however, may a Il apply and will expire SIX (6) MO cause the application to become A | ICATION. Treply be timely ONTHS from the ABANDONED | y filed e mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | | |
| 1) | Responsive to communication(s) file | ed on | | | | | | | |
| · | This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4)🖂 | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | |
| 5) | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | |
| 6) | S) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | | |
| 8)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> are subject to restrict | ion and/or el | ection requirement. | | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 9) | The specification is objected to by th | ne Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | | | |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected t | o by the Exa | miner. Note the attache | ed Office A | ction or form PT | O-152. | | | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | |
| | Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: | | • | § 119(a)-(| d) or (f). | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | | |
| | application from the Internation | • | • | ii ieceiveu | III tilis ivational | Olage | | | |
| * 5 | See the attached detailed Office action | | | t received. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | | | | |
| | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o | | Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other: | | | | | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- Claims 1-8, drawn to an isolated polynucleotide encoding a tocopherol associated protein p38, expression cassette and host cell, classified in class 435, subclass 325.
- II. Claims 9, drawn to an isolated tocopherol associated protein p38, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
- III. Claim 10, drawn to an antibody of tocopherol associated protein p38, classified in class 530, subclass 387.9.
- IV. Claim 11-18, drawn to isolated polynucleotide encoding deletion mutants of tocopherol associated protein p46, expression cassette and host cell, classified in class 435, subclass 325.
- V. Claim 19, drawn to an isolated polypeptide deletion mutant tocopherol associated protein p46, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
- VI. Claim 20, drawn to an isolated deletion mutant tocopherol associated protein p38, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
- VII. Claims 21-24, 29-34, drawn to a method for the treatment of neoplastic disease by administering a vector encoding tocopherol associated protein p38, classified in class 514, subclass 44.

- VIII. Claims 21-24, 29-34, drawn to a method for the treatment of neoplastic disease by administering a vector encoding tocopherol associated protein p46, classified in class 514, subclass 44.
- IX. Claims 21-23, 25-26, 28-30, drawn to a method for the treatment of autoimmune disease by administering a vector encoding tocopherol associated protein p38, classified in class 514, subclass 44.
- X. Claims 21-23, 25-26, 28-30, drawn to a method for the treatment of autoimmune disease by administering a vector encoding tocopherol associated protein p46, classified in class 514, subclass 44.
- XI. Claims 21-23, 25, 27, 29-30, drawn to a method for the treatment of viral disease by administering a vector encoding a tocopherol associated protein p38, classified in class 514, subclass 44.
- XII. Claims 21-23, 25, 27, 29-30, drawn to a method for the treatment of viral disease by administering a vector encoding a tocopherol associated protein p46, classified in class 514, subclass 44.
- XIII. Claims 35-38, drawn to an aerosolized liposome composition comprising a vector encoding tocopherol associated protein (p38 or p46 or deletion mutants), classified in class 424, subclass 450.

For each of inventions IV-XIII above, restriction to one of the following is also required under 35 USC121. Therefore, election is required of one of inventions IV-XIII and one of inventions (A) – (E).

(A). protein of SEQ ID No: 2 or a nucleic acid encoding SEQ ID No: 2.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,699

Art Unit: 1652

production.

(B). protein of SEQ ID No: 4 or a nucleic acid encoding SEQ ID No: 4.

(C). protein of SEQ ID No: 15 or a nucleic acid encoding SEQ ID No: 15.

(D), protein of SEQ ID No: 17 or a nucleic acid encoding SEQ ID No: 17.

(E). protein of SEQ ID No: 19 or a nucleic acid encoding SEQ ID No: 19.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. The DNA of Group I, IV and the proteins of Group II, V-VI, antibody of Group III and a liposome composition of Group XIII, each comprise a chemically unrelated structure capable of separate manufacture, use and effect. The DNA comprises a nucleic acid sequence of Groups I and IV, and liposome composition of Group IX, the proteins of Group II, and V-VI, antibody of group III, each comprise unrelated amino acid sequences. The DNA has other utility besides encoding the proteins such hybridization or probe, the proteins can be made by another method such as isolation from natural sources or chemical synthesis and the proteins have other utility besides acting as an antigen to induce the antibodies such as for diacylglycerol (DAG)

3. Inventions I, IV and VII-XII are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the nucleic acid can be used for the hybridization and probe preparation.

Page 4

4. Inventions polypeptide of Group II, V and VI, and antibody Group III are unrelated to the methods of Groups VII-XII as they are neither used nor made by the methods of Groups VII-XII as they have different modes of operation, different functions.

- 5. The methods of groups VII-XII are patentably distinct as they comprise unrelated steps, as different products and produce different effects.
- 6. The proteins of Group (A)-(E) are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions represent structurally different polypeptides and polynucleotide encoding them. Therefore, where structural identity is required, such as for hybridization or expression or antibody binding, the different sequences have different effects.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37CFR 1.48b if one or more of the currently named inventors are no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under CFR 1.48 (b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17 (i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of

the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the imitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is advised the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Iqbal H. Chowdhury whose telephone number is 571-272-8137. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapu Achutamurthy can be reached on 703-272-0928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Respectfully,

Iqbal Chowdhury, PhD, Patent Examiner Art Unit 1652 (Recombinant Enzymes) US Patent and Trademark Office Remsen Bldg. Rm. 2B69, 2B69, Mail Box. 2C70 Ph. (571)-272-8137, Fax. (571)-273-8137 IC

PRIMARY EXAMINE

Kelma lin

Page 7